

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/646,732 08/25/2003 Akihiro Kasahara 240739US2RD DIV 1163 22850 7590 05/27/2004 **EXAMINER** OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET TAMAI, KARL I ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBÉR 2834

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	(hv	
Office Action Summary					
		10/646,732		KASAHARA, AKIHIRO	
		Examin r	Art Unit		
The MAILING D	DATE of this communication as	Tamai IE Karl	2834		
Pri df r Reply	ATE OF UNS COMMUNICAU II AP	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	ss	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status		* ,	*		
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on			•	
2a)☐ This action is FIN		 s action is non-final.			
	~~/i23 11110	nce except for formal matters, pro			
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under /	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the mer	rits is	
4		בא parte Quayie, ושטט ט.ט. ויָז, 4ט.)3 O.G. 213.		
Disp sition of Claims	*				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/ar	re pending in the application.			* - * 4	
4a) Of the above	claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is	s/are allowed.	The state of the s			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/ar					
	s/are objected to.			93 + L of	
8) Claim(s) a	are subject to restriction and/or				
1 -	To outlook to restriction distarti	election requirement.			
Application Papers			*		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file	ed on 25 August 2003 is/are	r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to			
Applicant may not r	request that any objection to the	a) \(\subseteq \text{ accepted or b) \(\subseteq \text{ objected to} \)	ט by the Examiner.		
Replacement drawi	ing aboat(a) including the correct	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
I .		aminer. Note the attached Office F	Action or form PTO-15	2.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119		780		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified cor	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/964,699</u> .				
3. ☐ Copies of th	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application f	from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17 2(a))	In this National Stage	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
and of the definition dopies not received.					
Attachment(s)				<u> </u>	
1) Notice of References Cited (F	PTO_802)		w the contract of the term of the con-	promote to make a	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	ent Drawing Review (PTO-048)	4) Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	TO-413)		
3) Information Disclosure Staten Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/25/2	ment(s) (PTO-1440 or PTO/SP/00)	5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)		
.S. Patent and Trademark Office		, 0, Oulei			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,732

Art Unit: 2834

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,713,939. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious to use the electrostatic actuator of 6,713,939 in a camera module.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 2036.

Art Unit: 2834

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2044. The facsimile number for the Group is (703) 872 - 9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER May 24, 2004

> KARL TAMAINER PRIMARY EXAMINER